

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

08/169.127 12/20/93 SHINOHARA	Н	<u>0756945</u>		
_				
	PADGET TXAMINER			
A1M1/0501 SIXBEY, FRIEDMAN, LEEDOM & FERGUSON				
2010 CORPORATE RIDGE, STE. 600	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MCLEAN, VA 22102	1112	25		

DATE MAILED:

05/01/96

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

ו 🗆	THE F	PERIOD FOR RE	SPONSE:				,	
a) [is	extended to run		or continues to run		from the date of the f	inal rejection	
ь) [] · e:	xpires three mont vent however, will	ns from the date of th	ne final rejection or as of for the response expire la	the mailing date	of this Advisory Action	n whichouarie later	In no
•	pi 1.	ne date on which urposes of determ 17 will be calcula	the response, the pe ining the period of e ted from the date of t	by filing a petition under tition, and the fee have l ktension and the corresp the originally set shortene	been filed is the onding amount ed statutory peri	date of the response a of the fee. Any extens od for response or as	and also the date for sion fee pursuant to 3 set forth in b) above.	tha
Ø /	Appel	lant's Brief is due	in accordance with 3	37 CFR 1.192(a). 🗜 💪	ed with 4	he appeal	buef	
			the final rejection, fil in condition for allow		has been consid	dered with the following	g effect, but it is not d	eemed
1.] Th	e proposed amen	dments to the claim	and /or specification will a	not be entered a	and the final rejection	stands because:	•
	a.	There is no copresented.	onvincing showing ur	nder 37 CFR 1.116(b) wh	ny the proposed	amendment is necess	ary and was not earli	er ·
	b.	☐ They raise ne	w issues that would r	require further considerat	tion and/or searc	ch. (See Note).		
	c.	☐ They raise the	issue of new matter	r. (See Note).				
	d.	They are not appeal.	deemed to place the	application in better for	m for appeal by	materially reducing or	simplifying the issues	for
	θ.	☐ They present	additional claims wit	hout cancelling a corresp	ponding number	of finally rejected clair	ms	·
	NC	OTE:			·			···
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
•		***	-					
2. [] Ne the	wly proposed or non-allowable cl	amended claims aims.	would b	oe allowed if sub	mitted in a separately	filed amendment can	celling
з. 🔀	D Up	on the filing an ap as follows:	peal, the proposed a	amendment 🛭 will be e	entered 🗌 will	not be entered and the	status of the claims	will ·
		aims allowed:	·		•	-		
		tims objected to: . tims rejected:	-4,6-9	ud 11-14 and	16		-	
		However;	,	•				
		Applicant's resp	onse has overcome	the following rejection(s):	:			
4. 🛚	The	hearth	n dependan	sideration has been cons	at specula	what might be	etrested do	eting not change
5. 🔲		affidavit or exhibesented.	t will not be consider	red because applicant ha	de Cua	sabstrate ma od and sufficent feaso	ns why it was not ear	rlier danita
□ 1 1	•		motion 🗆 t "	7	- d & d	\mathcal{A}	_	
i ou □ ou		poseu urawing co	recuon [] nas [has not been approve	ed by the examin	// //.	- I	MA
5"		-				MARIANA	<i>ENDESTA</i> IE PADGETT	-gen
							E PAUGE I	

PTOL-303 (REV. 5-89)